


Billy Roy Boyd,)	C/A No.: 6:17-1032-TLW-SVH
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	ORDER
Alan Wilson; W. Walter Wilkins; Scott)	
D. Robinson; and R. Mills Ariail, Jr.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

Notwithstanding the specific warning and instructions set forth in the court's *Roseboro* order, Plaintiff has failed to respond to the motions of Wilson and Ariail. As such, it appears to the court that he does not oppose the motions and wishes to abandon

this action against Wilson and Ariail.¹ Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff is directed to advise the court whether he wishes to continue with this case against Wilson and Ariail and to file a response to the motions to dismiss by October 10, 2017. Plaintiff is further advised that if he fails to respond, the undersigned will recommend that his claims against Wilson and Ariail be dismissed for failure to prosecute. *See Davis v. Williams*, 588 F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

September 25, 2017
Columbia, South Carolina



Shiva V. Hodges
United States Magistrate Judge

¹ Plaintiff filed a motion to amend his complaint on August 30, 2017. [ECF No. 38]. The motion to amend does not relieve Plaintiff of his responsibility to file responses to the motions.